

The Planning Inspectorate

COMMENTS ON CASE (Online Version)

Please note that comments about this case need to be made within the timetable. This can be found in the notification letter sent by the local planning authority or the start date letter. Comments submitted after the deadline may be considered invalid and returned to sender.

Appeal Reference: APP/L2250/W/18/3219565

DETAILS OF THE CASE

Appeal Reference APP/L2250/W/18/3219565

Appeal By MRS ANN CHARLES

Site Address
159 Canterbury Road
Hawkinge
FOLKESTONE
CT18 7AX

SENDER DETAILS

Name MR PHILIP HAWKINS

Address



ABOUT YOUR COMMENTS

In what capacity do you wish to make representations on this case?

- ☐ Appellant
- ☐ Agent
- ☒ Interested Party / Person
- ☐ Land Owner
- ☐ Rule 6 (6)

What kind of representation are you making?

- ☐ Final Comments
- ☐ Proof of Evidence
- ☐ Statement
- ☐ Statement of Common Ground
- ☒ Interested Party/Person Correspondence
- ☐ Other

YOUR COMMENTS ON THE CASE

I stand by my objection and ask that my earlier submissions be considered. Tye Lane is a single track road with no parking facilities, indeed we have a covenant in our deeds prohibiting stopping anywhere on the lane for more than 15 minutes. [REDACTED]

[REDACTED] and does not form part of the unadopted lane. If granted the residents of seven homes would suffer severe disruption as our homes lie behind the proposed access thus any vehicle stopped there or anywhere on the lane blocks our exit and/or return. We are, in the main, an aged population with three people with severe disabilities. We need unfettered access to our homes to serve our own domestic needs, including: medical appointments; visiting carers; home helps; medical assistance; refuse collections; repairs and deliveries as well as visiting family and friends. The proposed plot is too small to house the construction vehicles and workers transport so no doubt they would be blocking the lane frequently during construction. The spectre of elderly and handicapped residents having to go onto a building site to get vehicles moved is unacceptable and dangerous. Even after construction the plan is for only two car parking spaces ...these will be used by the owners and therefore any visitor or delivery will block the lane. In his report the Planning Officer alluded to the rights of the current residents. Those rights are enshrined in law under the Article 8 of the European Convention of Human Rights (HRA1998). We have a right to enjoy our homes peacefully, without the intrusion of public authority. The planning committee may only override this, if it is in the public interest. We cannot see how, one person's desire to build a house in their back garden, is sufficient to override the rights of sixteen others to live peacefully and safely in their homes.