




Sunnyside Road, Sandgate

Arboricultural Impact Assessment and
Method Statement

A Report for Holloway Architects

September 2020



www.greenspace-ecology.co.uk
info@greenspace-ecology.co.uk

Sunnyside Road, Sandgate.

Arboricultural Impact Assessment and Method Statement

September 2020

Controlled Copy

01 of 02

01 Holloway Architects

02 Greenspace Ecological Solutions Ltd

This report was written by Neil Taylor and proofed by Guy Newman MCIEEM

*The content of this report is the responsibility of Greenspace Ecological Solutions Ltd.
It should be noted that whilst every effort has been made to meet the client's requirements, no site survey can ensure complete assessment or prediction of the changeable onsite environment. Furthermore, should more than 24 months elapse between the date of this survey and any subsequent development, it may be necessary to consider the need for an update survey to be undertaken.*

Report Number J20613_Arb

Greenspace Ecological Solutions Ltd.
Suite H3, Blackham Court,
Withyham, East Sussex,
TN7 4DB

Tel: 01892 457062
www.greenspace-ecology.co.uk
info@greenspace-ecology.co.uk

CONTENTS

1	PROJECT OVERVIEW	1
2	INTRODUCTION	2
2.1	Context	2
2.2	Site Location	2
2.3	Site Description	2
3	SURVEY METHODOLOGY	3
4	ASSESSMENT	4
4.1	Tree Character Groups	4
5	ARBORICULTURAL IMPLICATIONS ASSESSMENT (AIA)	5
5.1	Methodology	5
5.2	Assessment	5
6	ARBORICULTURAL METHOD STATEMENT (AMS)	7
6.1	Methodology	7
6.2	Demolition within the RPA of Retained Trees	7
6.3	Construction within the RPA of Retained Trees	7
6.4	Services	7
6.5	Tree Protection	8
6.6	Site Monitoring and Supervision	8
7	CONCLUSION	9

DRAWINGS

Tree Protection Plan J20612_Arb_TPP

APPENDICES

APPENDIX A –	Tree Survey Schedule
APPENDIX B –	Section 4, Extracted from NJUG 4
APPENDIX C –	Programme of Site Monitoring

1 PROJECT OVERVIEW

Client: Holloway Architects

Site Address: Sunnyside Road, Sandgate, Kent, CT20 3TA

Attending Surveyors: Neil Taylor

Survey Date: 25th September 2018

Site Proposals: Residential Development.

Associated Planning Reference Number: Not yet submitted

Source of Relevant Documents:

Document:	Source:
Site Location Plan:	Google Earth Pro
Site Plans:	Guy Hollaway Architects

2 INTRODUCTION

2.1 Context

- 2.1.1 To inform a planning application, Greenspace Ecological Solutions (GES) has been commissioned by Holloway Architects to undertake a tree survey at Sunnyside Road, Sandgate ("The Site"), in accordance with British Standard (BS) 5837:2012 "Trees in Relation to Design, Demolition and Construction - Recommendations". The proposals include the demolition of the existing dwelling and the construction of four replacement dwellings and the associated access drive and car parking. Works that are likely to affect retained trees include the excavations for retaining structures and the storage of materials.
- 2.1.2 The aim of this report is to present the results of the survey, including a Tree Survey Schedule (TSS), an Arboricultural Implications Assessment (AIA), and an Arboricultural Method Statement (AMS). A Tree Protection Plan (TPP) has also been produced and accompanies this report.
- 2.1.3 This report in no way constitutes a health and safety survey report. Where concerns for tree health and safety exist, the necessary and appropriate tree inspections should be carried out.

2.2 Site Location

- 2.2.1 The site is situated within the village of Sandgate, Folkstone, Kent at Ordnance Survey Grid Reference: 195 351.

2.3 Site Description

- 2.3.1 The site occupies approximately 0.5 hectares (ha) and comprises a detached house and garage with associated amenity grassland, ornamental planting a wooded area and a tennis court.
- 2.3.2 The site is bound by Corniche Road to the north, residential dwellings to the east and west, and Sunnyside Road to the south. The wider landscape is dominated by a mixture of residential dwellings and woodland, as well as scattered open greenspaces. The English Channel is approximately 270m south of the site.
- 2.3.3 The trees within the survey area are protected by tree preservation order (TPO), reference number: 4 of 1975.

3 SURVEY METHODOLOGY

- 3.1 The trees were inspected from ground-level by consultant arboriculturist Neil Taylor on 18th September 2018 and measurements taken in accordance with the recommendations set out in the BS 5837:2012. Canopy spreads were measured and plotted to the four compass points. Where direct access was not possible measurements have been estimated. The surveyed trees are colour coded on the accompanying tree survey drawing according to their relevant BS category.
- 3.2 The tree data collected is used to enable the current canopy spread of the surveyed trees and the Root Protection Area (RPA) to be plotted on the accompanying TPP. The RPA is defined by the formula in paragraph 4.6 from the BS 5837:2012 and may be refined by taking into account current on-Site constraints to root activity such as buildings, earthworks and hard paving. This forms part of the design process for the proposed development.

4 ASSESSMENT

4.1 Tree Character Groups

4.1.1 The detailed results of the tree survey are provided in the TSS, in Appendix A. In summary, the trees on the Site vary considerably in terms of condition and the amenity value that they provide to the wider landscape. The trees can be divided into three distinct character groups as follows:

1. The first character group includes the larger, middle-aged to mature trees found growing across the Site. The majority of the trees in this character group are in a good condition and provide a degree of amenity to the wider area.
2. The second character group includes the medium sized, middle-aged trees found growing across the Site. The majority of the trees in this character group appear to be struggling with the coastal conditions.
3. The third character group includes the smaller, young to middle aged trees found growing on and adjacent to the Site. The majority of the trees in this character group are in a good condition but due to their size, are of limited amenity value in the context of the local area.

5 ARBORICULTURAL IMPLICATIONS ASSESSMENT (AIA)

5.1 Methodology

- 5.1.1 The AIA uses the information obtained in the tree survey to identify areas where the proposed construction may be at odds with accepted standards, in terms of a tree's requirements for space in which to maintain existing roots and shoots, and space for future growth.
- 5.1.2 The quality and relative importance of each tree is illustrated as a coloured polygon. The colour used relates to the BS categories as follows: A - green, B - blue, C - grey and R - red (see accompanying drawing, reference: J20612_Arb_TPP). In general the design process will try to retain A and B category trees. Proposed construction will therefore normally be excluded from the RPA of A and B category trees. Red trees are discounted as they are recommended for removal.
- 5.1.3 Details of the trees surveyed are given in the TSS (Appendix A). The juxtaposition of the proposed development in relation to existing tree locations are shown on the accompanying TPP drawing, reference: J20612_Arb_TPP.
- 5.1.4 The AIA considers existing Site conditions and the effect that they may have on the development of the surveyed trees root systems. Hard structures such as buildings and paved roads and paths, can influence the root activity of trees by reducing the availability of both moisture and nutrients.

5.2 Assessment

- 5.2.1 Refer to the accompanying TPP drawing, reference: J20612_Arb_TPP, for the relationship between the proposed development and the trees on and adjacent to the Site.
- The following trees will be removed for arboricultural reasons:
T24 and T25
 - The following trees will be removed to enable the proposed development:
T5 – to enable the construction of a dwelling
T6 – to enable the construction of a dwelling
T7 – to enable the construction of a dwelling
T8 – to enable the construction of a dwelling
T16 – to allow space for a garden
T17 – to enable the construction of an access drive
T28 – to allow a reduction in level

T29 – to allow a reduction in level

T31 – to enable the construction of a footpath

G1 – to enable the construction of a dwelling

Part of G3 – to enable the construction of a studio

Part of G4 – to enable the construction of access steps

H1 – to enable the construction of a dwelling

- The following tree will be affected by the demolition of the existing dwelling within the RPA:

T1

The building will be demolished in accordance with the methodology outlined in Section 6.2 below.

- The following trees will be affected by the construction of an access drive within the RPA:

T26

As the level of the access drive is lower than existing, a no dig method of construction will not be feasible. The percentage incursion into the RPA is less than 10% and is therefore considered acceptable. As a precaution, excavations will be carried out in accordance with the methodology outlined in Section 6.3 below.

6 ARBORICULTURAL METHOD STATEMENT (AMS)

6.1 Methodology

- 6.1.1 The AMS provides the means by which retained trees and hedges can be protected throughout the development.
- 6.1.2 The movement of demolition and construction machinery in close proximity to trees may cause compaction of the soil which affects the tree's ability to absorb moisture and nutrients.
- 6.1.3 The RPA of retained trees will be protected by a tree protection barrier as described in Section 6.5 below and shown on the accompanying TPP drawing, reference: J20612_Arb_TPP.

6.2 Demolition within the RPA of Retained Trees

- 6.2.1 The demolition of the existing dwelling that is within the RPA of T1 will be carried out using a 'top down, pull back' method whereby any machinery used will be stood outside of the RPA of the retained trees at all times.

6.3 Construction within the RPA of Retained Trees

- 6.3.1 Excavations for Access Drive: Where excavations for the access drive is within the RPA of T26, excavations will be carried out by hand under the supervision of a suitably qualified arboriculturist. Any roots encountered will be pruned back to the edge of the trench using sharp secateurs.
- 6.3.2 No materials or spoil is to be stored within the RPA of a retained tree unless on an existing hard surface.
- 6.3.3 In order to avoid damage to the retained trees the tree surgery and felling work identified in the accompanying tree survey schedule will be carried out prior to the occupation of the Site by the building contractor. The work will be carried out in accordance with BS 3998:2010.

6.4 Services

- 6.4.1 The location of any underground services are not known at this stage but it is assumed that they will be outside of the RPA of the retained trees. Where it is not possible to achieve this, the section of service run which passes within the RPA will be hand dug in accordance with 'broken trenches' described in NJUG 4 Section 4, an extract of which can be found in Appendix B. This will ensure that tree roots are not damaged during the installation of the service. All root pruning will be agreed beforehand with the named arboriculturist in consultation with the Local Planning Authority (LPA) Arboricultural Officer. All root pruning will be in accordance

with current best working practice. All routes for overhead services will aim to avoid the trees. Where this is unavoidable any tree work will be agreed prior to commencement with the LPA Arboricultural Officer.

- 6.4.2 If the conditions are suitable on Site and there is sufficient space, underground services may cross the RPA if a low impact method is used. Such low impact methods include: moleing, directional drilling and thrust boring. It is important that all entry and exit pits remain outside of the RPA and the services are installed at a sufficient depth (at least 600mm) so as to avoid the tree rooting system.

6.5 Tree Protection

- 6.5.1 All trees that are to be retained on the Site will be protected by the use of a tree protection barrier erected in the location shown on the accompanying TPP drawing, reference: J20612_Arb_TPP. The fence will consist of "Heras" type panels or similar braced at appropriate intervals and secured to keep in place. The tree protection barrier will be erected prior to the occupation of the site by the contractor and will only be removed once the construction phase is complete.



6.6 Site Monitoring and Supervision

- 6.6.1 The process of reporting to the client and LPA Arboricultural Officer will be by emailing the checklist form at Appendix C. Site monitoring is to be at a frequency agreed and approved by the LPA. It will involve a Site visit by the named arboriculturist to ensure that the appropriate tree protection measures, as detailed in the approved drawings and method statements, are continually adhered to.

7 CONCLUSION


- 7.1 GES was commissioned by Holloway Architects to carry out a tree survey at Sunnyside Road, Sandgate. The results of the survey indicate that the trees within the survey area vary considerably in terms of quality and contribution to the amenity value within the local area.
- 7.2 A total of nine individual trees, one group of trees, part of two further groups of trees and one hedge will be removed to enable the proposed development. All trees to be removed fall within the 'C' category as they are small and easily replaced or are in poor health.
- 7.3 Through the specified construction methodologies and tree protection measures, it will be possible to minimise the impact of the proposed development on the retained trees.
- 7.4 Overall, there are no known overriding arboricultural constraints which would prevent the proposed development from going ahead, subject to the protection measures and construction methodologies specified within this report being correctly implemented.

DRAWINGS





Underlined tree tags denote tree located by eye

Tree categories



Arboricultural Strategy



Drawing Reference : J20612_Arb_TPP

Project Title
Sunnyside Road, Sandgate

Drawing Title
Tree Protection Plan

Date : 02-09-20 Checked : GN

Drawn : NT Approved : N/A

Status : Final Scale : 1:200@A2



APPENDICES

APPENDIX A – TREE SURVEY SCHEDULE

Project:	Land at Hillboro, Sunnyside Road, Sandgate							BS 5837 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction- recommendations			Surveyed by	NAT	 Greenspace Ecological Solutions			
Ref:	J20612_Arb										Weather	Clear and Bright				
Date:	25.09.18										Tagged	No				
Client:	Guy Hollaway Architects															
				Canopy Spread												
Tree No.	Species	Height (m)	DBH (mm)	N	E	S	W	Stems	Height of crown clearance	Age class	Physiological condition problems/comments	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations	Estimated remaining contribution years	BS category	
T1	Norway maple (Acer platanoides)	6	220	3	3	3	4	1	1.5	Y	Good	Good	None	40+	C1	
T2	false acacia (Robinia pseudoacacia)	7	200	4	3	4	4	1	1.5	Y	Good	Good	None	40+	C1	
T3	ash (Fraxinus excelsior)	3	150	1	1	1	1	1	1	Y	Good	Good	None	40+	C1	
T4	sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus)	4	160	1	1	1	1	1	1	Y	Good - pollard	Good	None	40+	C1	
T5	ash (Fraxinus excelsior)	6	550	4	3	3	4	1	2	M	Good - pollard	Poor - stem and basal decay	Re-pollard	10-20	C1	
T6	holm oak (Quercus ilex)	6	200	3	3	4	3	1	1	Y	Good	Good	None	40+	C1	
T7	goat willow (Salix caprea)	5	469	4	3	4	3	2	2	M	Good	Fair - pollard	None	10-20	C1	
T8	goat willow (Salix caprea)	5	135	1	3	3	2	2	2	Y	Good	Good	None	40+	C1	
T9	ash (Fraxinus excelsior)	10	660	7	5	4	5	1	3	M	Good	Good	None	20-40	B2	
T10	elm (ulmus sp.)	5	350	3	5	5	3	1	0	MA	Good	Good	None	10-20	C1	
T11	sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus)	6	350	5	4	3	3	1	3	MA	Fair	Good	None	10-20	C1	
T12	elm (ulmus sp.)	5	220	3	2	4	3	1	0	MA	Good - pollard	Good	None	10-20	C1	
T13	sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus)	4	150	2	2	3	1	1	1	Y	Fair - squirrel damage	Fair	None	10-20	C1	
T14	hawthorn (Crataegus monogyna)	4	200	4	3	1	2	1	1	MA	Good	Good	None	20-40	C1	

Project:	Land at Hillboro, Sunnyside Road, Sandgate							BS 5837 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction- recommendations			Surveyed by		NAT		 Greenspace Ecological Solutions		
Ref:	J20612_Arb										Weather		Clear and Bright				
Date:	25.09.18										Tagged		No				
Client:	Guy Hollaway Architects																
				Canopy Spread													
Tree No.	Species	Height (m)	DBH (mm)	N	E	S	W	Stems	Height of crown clearance	Age class	Physiological condition problems/comments	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations	Estimated remaining contribution years	BS category		
T15	sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus)	6	220	4	3	4	3	1	0	Y	Good - ivy	stem obscured by ivy	sever ivy	40+	C1		
T16	hybrid black poplar (Populus canadensis)	8	450	2	4	4	2	1	3	MA	Good - ivy. Poor form	Good	None	20-40	C1		
T17	beech (Fagus sylvatica)	5	400	2	3	2	2	1	3	MA	Fair - heavily reduced	Fair - bark death	None	10-20	C1		
T18	oak (Quercus robur)	4	100	1	1	1	1	1	2	Y	Good	Good	None	40+	C1		
T19	holm oak (Quercus ilex)	4	516	3	2	1	2	2	2	M	Good - pollard	Good	None	20-40	C1		
T20	oak (Quercus robur)	5	210	2	3	2	2	1	2	Y	Good	Good	None	40+	C1		
T21	ash (Fraxinus excelsior)	7	510	3	4	3	3	1	3	MA	Good - pollard	Good	None	20-40	C1		
T22	hawthorn (Crataegus monogyna)	4	219	1	2	1	2	2	2	MA	Good	Good	None	40+	C1		
T23	holm oak (Quercus ilex)	4	480	2	5	3	4	1	2	M	Good - pollard	Good	None	20-40	C1		
T24	sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus)	7	280	4	3	2	3	1	1	MA	Poor - die back. Basal growth	Fair	Remove	0-10	U		
T25	sycamore (Acer pseudoplatanus)	8	480	5	5	3	4	1	4	MA	Poor - severe die back.	Fair	Remove	0-10	U		
T26	sweet chestnut (Castania sativa)	7	470	6	4	4	5	1	1.5	MA	Good	Good	None	40+	B2		
T27	hawthorn (Crataegus monogyna)	5	200	2	2	2	2	1	2	MA	Good	Good	None	40+	C1		

Project:	Land at Hillboro, Sunnyside Road, Sandgate							BS 5837 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction- recommendations			Surveyed by		NAT		 Greenspace Ecological Solutions		
Ref:	J20612_Arb										Weather		Clear and Bright				
Date:	25.09.18										Tagged		No				
Client:	Guy Hollaway Architects																
				Canopy Spread													
Tree No.	Species	Height (m)	DBH (mm)	N	E	S	W	Stems	Height of crown clearance	Age class	Physiological condition problems/comments	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations	Estimated remaining contribution years	BS category		
T28	cherry (Prunus sp.)	7	400	9	3	3	2	1	2	MA	Fair - sparse crown	Good	None	10-20	C1		
T29	cherry (Prunus sp.)	7	450	6	5	4	2	1	2	MA	Fair - sparse crown	Good	None	10-20	C1		
T30	walnut (Juglans regia)	6	200	3	3	2	2	1	2	Y	Good	Good	None	40+	C1		
T31	Lawson's cypress (Chamaecyparis lawsoniana)	5	292	2	2	1	1	2	1	MA	Good	Good	None	20-40	C1		
T32	yew (Taxus baccata)	5	277	4	4	3	3	3	1	MA	Good	Good	None	40+	C1		
T33	cherry (Prunus sp.)	7	160	2	1	1	1	1	1	MA	Poor - die back	Good	None	10-20	C1		
T34	Turkey oak (Quercus cerris)	6	300	1	0	4	3	1	1.5	MA	Fair - suppressed	Good	None	20-40	C1		
T35	Turkey oak (Quercus cerris)	8	550	9	7	5	5	1	2	MA	Good	Good	None	40+	B2		
G1	Leyland cypress	Up to 6	Varied							MA	Good - topped	Good	None	10-20	C1		
G2	blackthorn, sycamore, ash	Up to 7	Varied							Y	Good - group of scrub on side of bank	Good	None	40+	C1		
G3	windmill palm, Japanese maple, Leyland cypress, hawthorn	Up to 6	Varied							Y-MA	Good - ornamental group	Good	None	40+	C1		
G4	ash, bay, yew, hawthorn, sycamore, horse chestnut	Up to 7	Varied							Y-MA	Fair - garden group, ash dying back.	Good	None	10-20	C1		

Project:	Land at Hillboro, Sunnyside Road, Sandgate							BS 5837 2012 Trees in relation to design, demolition and construction- recommendations			Surveyed by	NAT	 Greenspace Ecological Solutions		
Ref:	J20612 Arb										Weather	Clear and Bright			
Date:	25.09.18										Tagged	No			
Client:	Guy Hollaway Architects														
				Canopy Spread											
Tree No.	Species	Height (m)	DBH (mm)	N	E	S	W	Stems	Height of crown clearance	Age class	Physiological condition problems/comments	Structural condition	Preliminary management recommendations	Estimated remaining contribution years	BS category
H1	privet	Up to 3		Varied						MA	Good - internal hedge	Good	None	40+	C1

APPENDIX B – SECTION 4, EXTRACTED FROM NJUG 4

4. HOW TO AVOID DAMAGE TO TREES

This section gives general guidance on methods of work to minimise damage to trees. The local authority (or for privately owned trees, the owner or their agent), should be consulted at an early stage prior to the commencement of any works. This will reduce the potential for future conflict between trees and apparatus.

4.1 Below Ground

Wherever trees are present, precautions should be taken to minimise damage to their root systems. As the shape of the root system is unpredictable, there should be control and supervision of any works, particularly if this involves excavating through the surface 600mm, where the majority of roots develop.

4.1.1 Fine Roots

Fine roots are vulnerable to desiccation once they are exposed to the air. Larger roots have a bark layer which provides some protection against desiccation and temperature change. The greatest risk to these roots occurs when there are rapid fluctuations in air temperature around them e.g. frost and extremes of heat. It is therefore important to protect exposed roots where a trench is to be left open overnight where there is a risk of frost. In winter, before leaving the site at the end of the day, the exposed roots should be wrapped with dry sacking. This sacking must be removed before the trench is backfilled.

4.1.2 Precautions

The precautions referred to in this section are applicable to any excavations or other works occurring within the Prohibited or Precautionary Zones as illustrated in Figure 1 – 'Tree Protection Zone'.

4.1.3 Realignment

Whenever possible apparatus should always be diverted or re-aligned outside the Prohibited or Precautionary Zones. Under no circumstances can machinery be used to excavate open trenches within the Prohibited Zone.

The appropriate method of working within the Precautionary Zone should be determined in consultation with the local authority (or for privately owned trees the owner or their agent) and may depend on the following circumstances;

- the scope of the works (e.g. one-off repair or part of an extensive operation)
- degree of urgency (e.g. for restoration of supplies)
- knowledge of location of other apparatus
- soil conditions
- age, condition, quality and life expectancy of the tree

Where works are required for the laying or maintenance of any apparatus within the Prohibited or Precautionary Zones there are various techniques available to minimise damage.

Acceptable techniques in order of preference are;

a) Trenchless

Wherever possible trenchless techniques should be used. The launch and reception pits should be located outside the Prohibited or Precautionary Zones. In order to avoid damage to roots by percussive boring techniques it is recommended that the depth of run should be below 600mm. Techniques involving external lubrication of the equipment with materials other than water (e.g. oil, bentonite, etc.) must not be used when working within the Prohibited Zone. Lubricating materials other than water may be used within the Precautionary Zone following consultation and by agreement.

b) Broken Trench - Hand-dug

This technique combines hand dug trench sections with trenchless techniques if excavation is unavoidable. Excavation should be limited to where there is clear access around and below the roots. The trench is excavated by hand with precautions taken as for continuous trenching as in (c) below. Open sections of the trench should only be long enough to allow access for linking to the next section. The length of sections will be determined by local conditions, especially soil texture and cohesiveness, as well as the practical needs for access. In all cases the open sections should be kept as short as possible and outside of the Prohibited Zone.

c) Continuous Trench - Hand-dug

The use of this method must be considered only as a last resort if works are to be undertaken by agreement within the Prohibited Zone. The objective being to retain as many undamaged roots as possible.

Hand digging within the Prohibited or Precautionary zones must be undertaken with great care requiring closer supervision than normal operations.

After careful removal of the hard surface material digging must proceed with hand tools. Clumps of roots less than 25mm in diameter (including fibrous roots) should be retained in situ without damage. Throughout the excavation works great care should be taken to protect the bark around the roots.

All roots greater than 25mm diameter should be preserved and worked around. These roots must not be severed without first consulting the owner of the tree or the local authority tree officer / arboriculturist. If after consultation severance is unavoidable, roots must be cut back using a sharp tool to leave the smallest wound.

4.1.5 Backfilling

- Any reinstatement of street works in the United Kingdom must comply with the relevant national legislation (see: **Volume 6 – ‘Legislation and Bibliography’**). In England this relates to the requirements of the code of practice – ‘Specification for the Reinstatement of Openings in Highways’ approved under the New Roads and Street Works Act 1991. Without prejudice to the requirements relating to the specification of materials and the standards of workmanship, backfilling should be carefully carried out to avoid direct damage to roots and excessive compaction of the soil around them.
- The backfill should, where possible, include the placement of an inert granular material mixed with top soil or sharp sand (not builder’s sand) around the roots. This should allow the soil to be compacted for resurfacing without damage to the roots securing a local aerated zone enabling the root to survive in the longer term.
- Backfilling outside the constructed highway limits should be carried out using the excavated soil. This should not be compacted but lightly “tamped” and usually left slightly proud of the surrounding surface to allow natural settlement. Other materials should not be incorporated into the backfill.

4.1.6 Additional Precautions near Trees

- Movement of heavy mechanical plant (excavators etc.) must not be undertaken within the Prohibited Zone and should be avoided within the Precautionary Zone, except on existing hard surfaces, in order to prevent unnecessary compaction of the soil. This is particularly important on soils with a high proportion of clay. Spoil or material must not be stored within the Prohibited Zone and should be avoided within the Precautionary Zone.
- Where it is absolutely necessary to use mechanical plant within the Precautionary Zone care should be taken to avoid impact damage to the trunk and branches. A tree must not be used as an end-stop for paving slabs or other materials nor for security chaining of mechanical plant. If the trunk or branches of a tree are damaged in any way advice should be sought from the local authority tree officer / arboriculturist.

See TABLE 1 –'Prevention of Damage to Trees Below Ground' below for summary details regarding causes and types of damage to trees and the implications of the damage and the necessary precautions to be taken to avoid damage.

TABLE 1 - Prevention of Damage to Trees Below Ground

Causes of Damage	Type of Damage	Implications to Tree	Precautions
Trenching, mechanical digging etc.	Root severance	• The tree may fall over • Death of the root beyond the point of damage • Potential risk of infection of the tree The larger the root the greater the impact on the tree.	Hand excavate only within the Precautionary Zone. Work carefully around roots. Do not cut roots over 25mm in diameter without referring to the local authority tree officer. For roots less than 25mm in diameter use a sharp tool and make a clean cut leaving as small a wound as possible.
Trenching, mechanical digging, top soil surface removal etc.	Root bark damage	• The tree may fall over • If the damage circles the root it will cause the death of the root beyond that point • Potential risk of infection of the tree The larger the root the greater the impact on the tree.	Do not use mechanical machinery to strip the top soil within the Precautionary Zone. Hand excavate only within the Precautionary Zone. Work carefully around roots. Do not cut roots over 25mm in diameter without referring to the local authority tree officer. For roots less than 25mm use a sharp tool and make a clean cut leaving as small a wound as possible.
Vehicle movement and plant use. Material storage within the precautionary area.	Soil compaction & water saturation	Restricts or prevents passage of gaseous diffusion through soil, the roots are asphyxiated and killed affecting the whole tree.	Prevent all vehicle movement, plant use or material storage within the Precautionary Zone.
Top-soil scouring, excavation or banking up.	Alterations in soil level causing compaction or exposure of roots.	Lowering levels strips out the mass of roots over a wide area. Raising soil levels asphyxiates roots and has the same effect as soil compaction.	Avoid altering or disturbing soil levels within the Precautionary Zone.
Use of herbicides.	Poisoning of the tree via root absorption	• Death of the whole tree • Death of individual branches Damage to leaves and shoots.	The selection and application of herbicides must be undertaken by a competent person in accordance with COSHH regulations.
Spillage of oils or other materials.	Contamination of soil	Toxic and asphyxiation effects of chemicals, oils, building materials (cement, plaster, additives etc.) on the root system can kill the tree.	Never store oils, chemicals or building materials within the Precautionary Zone or within the branch spread of a tree, which ever is the greater.
Placement or replacement of underground apparatus.	Various	Death of all or part of the tree.	Effective planning and liaison with local authority tree officer, taking into consideration the position of trees, and their future growth potential and management

4.2 Above Ground

4.2.1 Damage by Pruning

Trees (including shrubs and hedges) can be damaged by inappropriate or excessive pruning. Reference should be made to the Energy Networks Association (ENA) document "Engineering Technical Report 136 Vegetation Management near Electricity Equipment – Principles of Good Practice" (see section 8 – 'Other Useful Publications') or appropriate company specific documentation for guidance on pruning.

See TABLE 2 – 'Prevention of Damage to Trees Above Ground' below for summary details regarding causes and types of damage to trees and the implications of the damage and the necessary precautions to be taken to avoid damage.

TABLE 2 - Prevention of Damage to Trees Above Ground

Causes of Damage	Type of Damage	Implications for the Tree	Precautions
Impact by vehicle or plant Physical attachment of signs or hoardings to the trunk Storage of materials at base of tree Rubbing by winch or pulling cables	Bark bruising, bark removal, damage to the wood, damage to buttress roots, abrasion to trunk	Wounding with the potential for infection ultimately resulting in death of all or part of the tree. Structural failure of the tree	Surround the trunk with protective free-standing barrier. Exclude vehicles, plant or material storage from the Precautionary Zone. Ensure sufficient clearance of cables or ropes.
Impact by vehicle or plant Rubbing by overhead cables	Bark damage to branches, breakage and splitting of branches, abrasion to branches	Structural failure of the branch. Wounding or loss of a branch with the potential for infection ultimately resulting in death of all or part of the branch or tree.	Exclude vehicles, plant or material storage from the Precautionary Zone. Ensure sufficient clearance of cables or ropes. All pruning should be carried out in accordance with BS3998 (<i>prune affected branches to give appropriate clearance from cables</i>)
Inappropriate siting of overhead apparatus, such as CCTV, lighting fixtures and communications masts and dishes.	Inappropriate pruning, unnecessary tree removal	Severely pruning tree to acquire line of sight signal for communications dish etc.	Effective planning and liaison with local authority tree officer / arboriculturist, taking into consideration the position of trees, and their future growth potential and management.
Lack of forethought in design and location of apparatus and services entries on new developments	Complete tree removal	The tree is removed unnecessarily	Agree the location and installation of services at the design stage. Consideration should be given to the creation of dedicated service routes wherever possible.
Use of herbicides	Poisoning of the tree via absorption through bark, leaves and shoots	Death of the whole tree, death of individual branches, damage to leaves and shoots	The selection and application of herbicides must be undertaken by a competent person in accordance with COSHH regulations.

APPENDIX C – PROGRAMME OF SITE MONITORING**Sunnyside Road, Sandgate****Site Monitoring Form**

To be completed by the named arboriculturist and emailed to the client and tree officer at the completion of each operation.

Arboriculturist.....

Client.....

Project Manager.....

Tree Officer.....

(The above to be filled in with names and contact numbers)

OPERATION	TIMING	DATE	COMMENTS
Pre-commencement meeting or contact with project/site manager.	Before any works or pre-works on site		
Spot check of tree protection barrier	Before demolition commences		
Supervision of excavations for access drive	During ground works		
Completion of development	Once all construction activity has been completed		