

## Lilian Stubbs

---

**From:** Roland Ellis [REDACTED] >  
**Sent:** 03 October 2025 11:54  
**To:** Planning  
**Subject:** Late Representation - Application 25/1498/FH, Rear of 29-37 Broomfield Road  
**Attachments:** 3Oct2025 - Late Representation - Application 251498FH, Rear of 29-37 Broomfield Road.png

You don't often get email from [REDACTED]. [Learn why this is important](#)

Dear Planning Department,

I am writing again regarding planning application **25/1498/FH** (rear of 29-37 Broomfield Road, CT19 4DJ).

On 3 October, I witnessed equipment being loaded into a van via the private rear access track, with vehicles using the track and loading through the eastern garage door. **Please see the attached image.**

This directly contradicts the application documents, which state:

- The rear garage door "has been boarded up for security and is not in use"
- Access is pedestrian-only
- "No increase in vehicular traffic is proposed"

I was taking a delivery at the time, and the track was blocked. This shared access is essential for residents rear driveways, and undeclared vehicular use creates significant practical issues.

**I am asking why the application states this door is not used when I directly observed it being used on 3 October to load what appeared to be tables into a van. Tables are essential equipment for railway exhibitions, which are the stated purpose of this building. If vehicular access is required for the building's current exhibition use, the application's claims of pedestrian-only access and no vehicular traffic appear fundamentally misleading.**

Yours faithfully,

Roland Ellis  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]  
[REDACTED]

